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• In 2003, CPRC 41.0105:
  • “…recovery of medical or health care expenses incurred is limited to the amount actually paid or incurred by or on behalf of the claimant.”

• In 2010, *Haygood v. de Escabedo*
  • Limited recovery and evidence
  • “actually paid or incurred” = expenses that have been or will be paid by or on behalf of claimant.
  • Evidence of full medical charges is inadmissible.

• In 2012 and 2015, *Big Bird Tree Serv., v. Gallegos and Katy Springs & Mfg. v. Favalora*
  • Confirmed that “actually paid or incurred” is determined from the perspective of the claimant at the time of the service.
WHAT ARE THEY TELLING US?

• If our clients choose to use their health insurance, they will be limited to recovering and presenting evidence of only the amounts the client and their insurer paid.

• *BUT*, if our clients choose to incur the full amount of the medical expenses, that is what they can present evidence of and recover.
ARE PATIENTS REQUIRED TO USE HEALTH INSURANCE?

- **CPRC Chapter 146** says medical provider must bill insurance OR if the provider is not required or authorized to bill insurance, bill the patient. CPRC §146.002.

- When is a provider not “required” or “authorized” to bill insurance?
  - Health insurance is secondary.
  - Patient instructs provider not to submit.
ARE PATIENTS REQUIRED TO USE HEALTH INSURANCE?

- Plaintiff is not obligated to use their health insurance.

- Is not a failure to mitigate. *Guzman v. Jones*, 804 F.3d 707 (5th Cir. 2015); *City of Fort Worth v. Barlow*, 313 S.W.2d 906 (Tex. App. – Ft. Worth 1958); Restatement (Second) Torts §918(1), n. 31. (TAB H)

- Plaintiff can recover for exorbitant amounts that they were reasonable in paying (or incurring) in order to avoid further harm. Restatement (Second) Torts §911, cmt h.
ARE PATIENTS REQUIRED TO USE HEALTH INSURANCE?

- Use of health insurance usually requires some patient cost.
  - Deductible
  - Co-insurance
  - Co-pay

- Plaintiff not required to take such extraordinary efforts to mitigate damages. RESTATEMENT (SECOND) TORTS §918(1).
WHY PLAINTIFF DID NOT USE THEIR HEALTH INSURANCE?

- Couldn’t afford co-pays
- Couldn’t afford co-insurance
- Couldn’t afford deductible
- Provider not in network
- Provider would not accept health insurance on a third party liability claim
- Health insurance denied because it was not primary
- Was worried about being dropped or increased rates
- Did not want to reduce lifetime benefits
PAYING MEDICAL PROVIDERS WHEN NOT USING INSURANCE

- Verbal or written agreement to protect (LOP)
- Deposit arrangement
- Medical funding
Reasonableness:
Price that a willing owner would sell and a willing purchaser would buy, but neither being under any obligation to do so.

CPRC § 41.0105 and Haygood:
Amount that has been paid or will be paid by or on behalf of the plaintiff.
“UCR” = USUAL, CUSTOMARY AND REASONABLE

• AMA Policy H-385.923 Definition of “Usual, Customary and Reasonable” (UCR)

  • a ‘usual’ fee means that fee usually charged, for a given service, by an individual physician to his private patient (i.e., his own usual fee);

  • a ‘customary’ fee is within the range of usual fees currently charged by physicians of similar training;

  • a ‘reasonable’ fee meets the above two criteria and is justifiable, considering the special circumstances of the particular case in question, without regard to payments that have been discounted under governmental or private plans.

“UCR” = USUAL, CUSTOMARY AND REASONABLE

UCR [Usual, Customary, and Reasonable]: A method of determining benefits by comparing the physician’s charges to those of his or her peers in the same community and specialty. Sometimes called Customary, Prevailing, and Reasonable.
Your Health Care Benefit Program

Allowable Amount

The Allowable Amount is the maximum amount of benefits BCBSTX will pay for Eligible Expenses you incur under the Plan. BCBSTX has established an Allowable Amount for Medically Necessary services, supplies, and procedures provided by Providers that have contracted with BCBSTX or any other Blue Cross and/or Blue Shield Plan, and Providers that have not contracted with BCBSTX or any other Blue Cross and/or Blue Shield Plan. When you choose to receive services, supplies, or care from a Provider that does not contract with BCBSTX, you will be responsible for any difference between the BCBSTX Allowable Amount and the amount charged by the non-contracting Provider. You will also be responsible for charges for services, supplies, and procedures limited or not covered under the Plan, Deductibles and any applicable Out-of-Pocket Maximum amounts.
“ALLOWED CHARGE”

Allowed charge [approved charge]: The amount Medicare approves for payment to a physician. Typically, Medicare pays 80 percent of the approved charge and the beneficiary pays the remaining 20 percent.

Fees with Relative Value Units
CHARGES, NOT PAYMENTS

- Charges are only relevant figure when dealing with patients who are not insured.
- Payments are the product of the bargaining position of the parties involved in that transaction. If client or client’s payor was not part of transaction, then those payments are not applicable.
- Payments do not include “in-kind” benefits, so do not reflect the true value of the medical services.
- Even calculating payments can be difficult. Put them to the task of coding, billing and reimbursing the procedure.
EXAMPLES OF “IN-KIND” BENEFITS

- Exclusivity
- Bonuses based on patient volume
- Accelerated payment terms
- Limited time period for denials
- Dedicated staff at the payor to assist the provider
- Marketing assistance by listing provider on payor’s website or catalog
- Accelerated appeals of denials
- Assistance in hiring staff and physicians
- Enhanced reporting to provider
- Access to payor’s electronic system for submitting claims and transferring funds
WHAT ABOUT WHEN THE ACCOUNT IS SOLD TO A FACTORING COMPANY?

- Again, what is the price that the willing provider sold to the willing patient?
- Patient incurred the full amount of the bill. No windfall.
- Factor’s payment is a purchase of the account, not a payment on the account.
- No payment made “by or on behalf of” plaintiff.
- The price the factor paid was not for the services, but for the right to collect for the services.
DEFENSE ARGUMENT THAT PAYMENT FOR DEBT = REASONABLE CHARGE

- What is reasonable price for medical service? NOT, what is reasonable price for medical debt.
- Reasonable price = willing buyer will pay a willing seller
- Willing buyer is patient
- Willing seller is provider
- Criteria used in deciding whether to buy debt very different
METHODS FOR PROVING REASONABLENESS

- CPRC 18.001 affidavits
- Treating physician
- Life care planner
- Third party service
TYPICAL DEFENSE ATTACKS

- Attack custodian qualifications
- File contraverting affidavit
- Attempt to limit evidence
- Hire expert on reasonableness of past med exps
EXPERTS NEEDED BY BOTH SIDES

• Must be qualified.

• Being a MD does not make one an expert on all things medical.

• Texas Rule of Evidence 702:

  If scientific, technical, or other specialized knowledge will assist the trier of fact to understand the evidence or to determine a fact in issue, a witness qualified as an expert by knowledge, skill, experience, training, or education may testify thereto in the form of an opinion or otherwise.
EXPERTS NEEDED BY BOTH SIDES

- Must employ a reliable methodology.

- Context4Healthcare, Fair Health, Medical Fees in the United States, Physician’s Desk Reference, etc.

- Other providers in the same geographic area.

- **Texas Rule of Evidence 703:**
  
  The facts or data in the particular case upon which an expert bases an opinion or inference may be those perceived by, reviewed by, or made known to the expert at or before the hearing. If of a type reasonably relied upon by experts in the particular field in forming opinions or inferences upon the subject, the facts or data need not be admissible in evidence.
BEING AN EXPERT

Pros:

- $$$$ - can make thousands/month for just a few hours of work
- Creates side business that can continue forever
- If team up with good company, no inconvenience or admin hassle

Cons:

- Some can find litigation stressful
- Trials and depositions can occur at inconvenient times
DEALING WITH CONTROVERTING AFFIDAVITS

- Strike affidavit
- Deposition
- Motion to Strike
CONCLUSORY AFFIDAVITS

The services and charges are not reasonable and necessary.

and attached documents do not indicate or reflect the availability and application of insurance payments or offsets or other discounts which might be used to reduce the amounts paid. Dr. [Redacted] died in August 2012, and the affidavit is dated after the date of his death. The services and charges are not reasonable and necessary.

11. The opinions rendered in this case are the opinions of the evaluator, and have been rendered to a reasonable degree of medical probability. This evaluation has been conducted on the basis of the medical documentation provided with the assumption that the material is true, complete, and correct. If more information becomes available at a later date, then additional services, reports, or reconsideration may be requested. Such information may or may not change the opinions rendered in this evaluation. This opinion is based on a clinical assessment from the documentation provided.
Since the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) is now driving reimbursements nationwide, and most commercial insurance plans like Blue Cross Blue Shield, Humana, Aetna, Cigna and United Healthcare as well as worker's compensation plans are offering contracts to medical providers based on the Medicare Fee Schedule, it is reasonable to use this Medicare Fee Schedule as a basis for determining a reasonable reimbursement in cases like these. The Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) has released a nationwide Medicare Fee Schedule which establishes the fee that providers can charge for health care services.

As you are aware, hospitals and medical providers can charge whatever they want. The problem is determining what is a reasonable amount to actually pay for these medical services?

The Usual and Customary fee is defined as the charge for health care that is consistent with the average rate or charge for identical or similar services in a certain geographical area. To determine the Usual and Customary fee for a specific medical procedure or service in a given geographic area, insurers often analyze statistics from a national study of fees charged by medical providers, such as the data base profile set up by the Health Insurance Association of America (HIAA). Some insurers compile their own data using their own claim information. The insurers use these statistics to chart a range of fees for each geographical area in which services are provided. Then the insurer pays all or part of the claim, depending on whether the amount of the claim is within the Usual and Customary allowance.

The phrase “usual, customary, and reasonable” (UCR) refers to the base amount that third-party payers (including insurance carriers and employers) generally use to determine how much will be paid, on behalf of an enrollee, for services that are reimbursed under a health insurance policy or health plan. Generally, payment for health care services is based on UCR rates: most coverage or reimbursement is only a
BUILDING SUCCESSFUL PRACTICE

• Team up with a good company
• If not, retain good counsel
• Discovery
• Remain impartial, objective
• Stay in your lane
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